

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/735,280	12/11/2000	Stephen Ma	2705-78	3592
7590 05/17/2004			EXAMINER	
Marger Johnson & McCollom, P.C. 1030 SW Morrison Street			HO, DUC CHI	
Portland, OR			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
,			2665	(
			DATE MAILED: 05/17/2004	,

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
•	09/735,280	MA ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
,	Duc C Ho	2665	
The MAILING DATE of this communicatio Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence address	;
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicatic - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory p - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a con. The areply within the statutory minimum of the period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC statute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communi. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	11 December 2000.		
	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for all	lowance except for formal ma	tters, prosecution as to the mer	its is
closed in accordance with the practice un	der <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.l	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) ∠ Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) ∠ Claim(s) <u>7-16</u> is/are allowed. 6) ∠ Claim(s) <u>1,6,17,18 and 23</u> is/are rejected. 7) ∠ Claim(s) <u>2-5 and 19-22</u> is/are objected to. 8) ∠ Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	hdrawn from consideration.		
9) The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)		by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	orrection is required if the drawing	g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.1	21(d).
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-15	2.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docur 2. Certified copies of the priority docur 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a	ments have been received. ments have been received in A priority documents have beer ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No received in this National Stage)
Attachment(s)	🗖 .		
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948	4) ∐ Interview : Paper Not	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date	
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SI Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>4</u> .		nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Art Unit: 2665

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because its length exceeds 150 words, and the abstract should be presented in a single paragraph.

Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102(e) that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.
- 3. The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) do not apply to the examination of this application as the application being examined was not (1) filed on or after November 29, 2000, or (2) voluntarily published under 35 U.S.C. 122(b). Therefore, this application is examined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).
- 4. Claims 1, 6, 17-18, and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by the admitted prior art in figure 2 of the instant application.

Regarding claim 1, the admitted prior art in figure 2 of the instant application discloses a high-level block diagram of a Network Access Server.

Art Unit: 2665

examining, using a first processor (the forwarding engine CPU 58-fig.2 of the instant application, see the disclosure of the instant application at page 9-line 24 to page 10-line 3) in the network access server, one or more header fields from one or more headers of a received egress packet (a packet received at the ingress port 48-fig. 2 to be passed to the egress port 62-fig. 2 is considered as a received egress packet);

determining, based on the examination of the header fields, a second processor (the network interface CPU 60-fig. 2) responsible for processing that egress packet, the second processor selected from a plurality of forwarding processors in the network access server; and passing the egress packet to the second processor (the received egress packet with a new L2 header is inherently selected by the CPU 60 among the two CPUs for processing the packet toward the IP network 20).

Regarding claim 6, please see the disclosure of the instant application at page 9-line 24 to page 10-line 3, wherein the CPU 60-fig. 2 functions as a switching fabric to route the egress packet using the new L2 header.

Regarding claim 17, this claim has similar limitations as claim 1. Therefore, it is rejected under the admitted prior art in figure 2 of the instant application for the same reasons set forth in the rejection of claim 1. The CPU 60-fig. 2 forwards the received egress packet to the access port 62.

Regarding claim 18, an incoming ingress packet at port 48-fig. 2 received at its forwarding engine CPU 58-fig. 2, then the CPU 60-fig. 2 forward the packet toward the port 62 in order to transport across a data network.

Art Unit: 2665

Regarding claim 23, this claim has similar limitations as claim 6. Therefore, it is rejected under the admitted prior art in figure 2 of the instant application for the same reasons set forth in the rejection of claim 6.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 5. Claims 7-16 are allowed.
- 6. Claim 2-5, and 19-22 are objected to as being independent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

- 7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Toivanen (US 6,539,029); Mazzola et al.(US 5,796,732) are cited to show distributed packet processing architecture for access servers, which is considered pertinent to the claimed invention.
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Duc Ho whose telephone number is (703) 305-1332. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 7:00 am to 3:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Huy Vu can be reached on 703-308-6602. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2665

Page 5

9. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

10. Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive,

Arlington. VA, Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Patent Examiner

Duc Ho

05-12-04